

S. S.

- Focus, RUBIK?

1. Do DETAILED EVALUATIONS ON MAJORITY(?) OF UTILITY ASSESSMENTS, & OPS ^(UA) ^(O) PROJECTS, + SOME TRAINING (ADV TR? ...)

- BASIC % CORRELATION, for tyros (a-f).
 - Concept / Error SET ANALYSIS
 - Show how degree of feedback & timing, nature of Target, Viewer used, Targeting instructions, etc... may have correlates to Performance...
 - In of how, control (degree of gen + specific analytical...)
- (Set up!)

2. EVALUATE OPTIMUM NO. OF SESSIONS for VARIOUS Subjects.

- ESTABLISH CLEAR CUT-off
- IF TOO LONG, PERFORMANCE MAY FALL (boredom), or irrelevant feedback may be trouble...

3. Review control of UA, O while it progresses.

4. Complete ADDITIONAL OVER ALL STATS (General APPRAISAL)

- ALL TRAINING, for VIEWER, type(?).
- for viewers, Target type, degree of feedback, extent of Monitor knowledge, no session, etc....

5. FILES: IMPROVE! (INCLUDES TIMELY feedback or EVAL...)

S.S., cont.

5. FROM 1 & 4, prepare charts/tables that show what we can expect to do operationally & at what degree of confidence or reliability.. (may be for viewer, other,) &
- Also indicate low-conf types, or types not yet tried.
 - what conditions seem to be necessary for taking on a project, & expecting some success:
 - viewer dependence, extent of flow loading, degree of need(?); type of targeting strategy, or methodology etc...
 - within given project type, is there a variation in degree of conf or tr of type of data? (ie, general description higher than specific function?). Show estimate of this variation when possible, & how derived?
 - is this tied to training as well? New training?
 - (ie, is it the training procedure, the practice, combination, or even the instructor(!) (ie, experimenter effect..). Can we tell?

* this shows how a type of "limited" extended

Talks may not be possible (or sufficiently accurate) for ^{reliable} applications... Need to show what is reasonable to take on, & see how this fits in with other info...

7. AND, how to integrate (?) with other info -

8. People issues:

- current issues (motivation, Talk... trans, mix of TA/UA/CPS...)
- How to follow-on (for those that leave)
- screening / selection?
- how to pick from base...
- Need more restrictions for members... (without going too far...)
- 'transfers' (when leave...)

9. Do some review of CH & MOS, data base -

- & about Talk. record, historical

4.13.11

SRI ACTIONS

1. SUMMARIZE ALL FINDINGS TO date (R/P, Q5, othe):
 - TO SUPPORT NEW AND/OR FOLLOW-ON WORK (NEED ANYWAY FOR BACKUP TO SOW)
 - CLEARLY SHOW WHAT WE KNOW, DO NOT KNOW AFTER ALL THOSE YEARS
 - FOR Q5, ESTIMATE LIMITATIONS, PROBLEMS, ETC FOR ~~THE~~ INT. APPLICATIONS PROJECTS
 -
 - CLEARLY SHOW WHAT NEW/FU WORK IS EXPECTED TO ACHIEVE (in 1 year!)...
 - INCLUDE WORK OF OTHER LABS, IF APPLICABLE TO PER DoD SPONSORED WORK...

2. For "ops" part of sow:

a. — Do Joint Long Dist Projects

b. — Do Projects THAT ADDRESS ONLY
"CONTENTS" OF BUILDINGS (Bio share "Security"):

(a). ABSTRACT / CRV

(b). a, + PICTURE (WITH VARIOUS SELF-EVIDENT ^{INDICATORS})

(c). a+b + known person (B.P.)

(1) B.P. WHO KNOWS CONTENT

(2) B.P. WHO IS KEPT BLIND

TO CONTENT (? -- How to say?)

(d.) For "Local" + Long Dist...

(1) Some under joint Proj

c. VARIOUS SEARCH PROJECTS..

— W/WO B.P. ?

— SPATIAL + BEARING TO LOCATION

— PERSON, OBJECT

— VGNR, FAR-FIELD.

d. People? (1) Bio,; Rebuild (T, F), (2)

e. PREDICTIVE:

— FUTURE LOCATION

— FUTURE EVENT (FIX. LOCATION)

"Normal" NOISE (UNINT. LEADER)

(can take data be blocked)

(CM)

f. Training: IS IT the method, practice or some combination?
(or even the motivation.. i.e., ex. effect?)

3. OVER-ALL, for CPS RELATED:

- MATRIX OUT SET OF DIVERSE TARGETS, CAPABILITIES, STRATEGIES, PEOPLE (VIEWS...).

- VARIOUS "DEGREE-OF-DIFFICULTY"

4. MORE BASIC:

- what do we want to do with RV? what are best applications? what are best req. requirements to go for? Can we go to then, or bad off to Recadeq, require. (Show ex Reg. vs. ex "applicability" & to what degree)

5. MISC ISSUES - (OVER-ALL DATA)

- Form vs content issue?

- Role of Source Person (WITTING, UNWITTING)

- Role of Information, Motivation, to interest of "need" (importance... etc...)

- The Targeting issue (CRV?) or Targeting/Recadeq Res. - ex

→ OPS/INT ISSUES: List of "Limitations" - ex experienced / deduced from all per SRI, workload Lab work (what can be done, not done; what qualifying we for what can be done?)

6. CM: (See Noise (Regel)) - (Set of 4 + 200000, 100000, = 200000)

9. NEW PROJECTS:

1. SEARCH / LOCATION:

- a. General (encompassing all features, bearing(?))
- b. Specifics (spatial; near, far-field, bearing(?))
- c. Type (Person, object, event..)

2. PREDICTIVE:

- a. Search / Location (future site, event...)
- b. TYPE "EVENTS", & TIMING (near → far-term)... (for some type of strategic)
 - (1). Terrorist attacks, hijackings
 - (2).
 - (3).
 - (4).
 - ...
 - (N) —

3. BIO:

- STATE of health.
- LOCATION(?)
- PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION...

9. NEW Projects, CTD

4... "U. ASSESSMENT" TYPE.

— Pair case (missing person, description of —, ... ~~Event~~ record;

—

5. LOCAL PICTORIAL Targets

— TRAINING

— LIVE TC F/D

— LOOK-~~ER~~ - ?

— SCANNING / calibration

—

10. New Procedures/Protocols:

- ERV, +...
- WRV +...
- RA STATE --
- Hypothesis.

- TARGETING:

- (
 - "AREA" (Photo, CARD...)
 - ⊕
 - PERSON KNOWLEDGEABLE (USAR LAR chief, Designer, OFFICIAL,)

□ TARGETING INSTRUCTIONS + "who knows what" (10,
unwired been?)

11.

10. POTENTIAL DIFFICULTIES

- NATURAL Biasing toward Tech S/T issues by
nature of Tech int. interest & Tech int application!

□ overcome it U.A by Mix (even, %, times...?)
of a variety of Non-Tech projects...

- TND TO ADV TR., or Later to ~~at~~ Targets.

□